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Current Status and Issues of Concern in Diagnostic Radiology

by G. David Dixon, MD

Just as the interventional radiologist uses the tools of radiology to work inside the body, so will Molecular imagers use our tools to observe molecular and cellular processes.

In the early 1960s, when I started my residency, the field of diagnostic radiology was minuscule in content as compared to today. At that time, an update in radiology of as appears in this issue of Missouri Medicine, would have included diagnostic exams such as barium studies, intravenous pyelograms, plain films and angiography. Ultrasound and nuclear medicine were in their infancy. Training to become a radiologist included studying radiation therapy, which was 50% of the typical three-year program. During my residency training, we performed approximately 50 to 100 each of barium enemas, upper GIs, gallbladder, and intravenous pyelograms (IVPs) on a daily basis. A workup for abdominal pain at Saint Luke’s Hospital in Kansas City when I came here in 1970 was generally performed on an inpatient and included an IVP, gallbladder, upper GI, and barium enema. Today these studies are virtually passé. Diagnostic radiology is now a four-year program plus one preliminary year. I was virtually the last of the breed of radiologists trained in both modalities and I have never practiced radiation therapy. Little did I know that I would evolve into a radiologist doing therapy of an entirely different type. As a board certified radiologist with a Certificate of Added Qualification (CAQ) from the American Board of Radiology (ABR) in interventional radiology, I have evolved into a therapist of an entirely different kind. Dr. Alexander Margulis introduced the term “interventional radiology” in 1979 and its practice has been a great ride for me.

Today’s update in radiology, as appears is limited to diagnostic radiology. It includes terms not even heard of in the mid '60s – “Interventional,” “CT,” and “MRI” to be specific. Ultrasound (US) and Nuclear Medicine were in their infancy. Mammography for screening was just being accepted. Zero-Mammography and thermography have come and gone.

Presently diagnostic radiologists are completing a computer revolution in radiology with the installation of Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS). Techniques that utilize computer programming have gradually taken over plain film studies and angiography of the 1960s. Today, without computers, virtually none of our modalities could exist. Even mammograms and plain films are digitized. Image quality has improved dramatically over the decades as a result of this computerization. Along with new technological improvements in imaging, have come problems with resulting issues. Some of these issues, rooted in history, are currently impacting radiology.

PACS: Advantages and Risks

Today Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS) bring all of the images produced by our many imaging techniques together into a single workstation, allowing the radiologist to manipulate them – e.g. window and level, magnify, reverse, etc. In addition to
perhaps therefore the false biopsy rate as well. CAD is also being applied to CT screening studies for lung cancer and virtual colonography.

LBBH: Made Worse by Obesity Epidemic

Limited by Body Habitus (LBBH) is the term used when a patient is too obese to be imaged satisfactorily. Obesity is a societal problem that is causing us many problems. Ultrasound and x-rays don’t penetrate large amounts of fat well. CT, MRI, and interventional tables are not generally designed for patients over 300 to 400 pounds. A recent study 1 stated the number of “limited” reports nearly doubled from 0.10% in 1989 to 0.19% in 2003. This is a larger increase than the corresponding number of obesity cases suggesting these patients also get sick more often.

Summary

I have tried to give a little history, discuss some current topics: PACS, inappropriate image utilization, CT screening, Night Hawk, molecular imaging, sonoscope, CAD, and LBBH. I hope these issues are more familiar to you now.

The articles that follow from the faculty of the University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Medicine and Saint Luke’s Hospital will bring you up to date regarding the current status of CT, MRI, ultrasound, nuclear medicine, mammography, and interventional radiology.
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